J. Entomol. Res. Soc., 24(1): 47-61, 2022 Doi: 10.51963/jers.v24i1.2111

DNA Barcoding Data of Aphids (Hemiptera: Aphidomorpha) in Safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius* L.) with New Host Plant Records in Turkey

Cenk YÜCEL^{1*} Işıl ÖZDEMİR² Didem CORAL³

 ^{1,2,3}Plant Protection Central Research Institute, Gayret Street, Fatih Sulltan Mehmet Boulevard, No. 66, P.K.49 06172, Yenimahalle, Ankara, TURKEY
e-mails: ¹cenkyucel@gmail.com, ²isilozdemir70@gmail.com, ³didemcosahin@gmail.com ORCID IDs: ¹0000-0001-5223-9257, ²0000-0001-9542-7442, ³0000-0002-4957-940X

ABSTRACT

Safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius* L.) is an important oilseed plant that is grown as vegetable oil source in the world and in Turkey due to its use as a quality edible oil and biodiesel raw material obtained from its seeds. In Ankara and Eskişehir Provinces, in areas where are safflower dense plantations, aphids were sampled from the leaves, stems and roots of each plant observed once or twice a week between May-June and September-October in 2015-2017.

As a result of the study, it was determined that there are infested with harmful aphids in safflower plantations areas. Eight aphid species were identified morphologically; *Aphis craccivora, A. fabae, Brachycaudus (Prunaphis) cardui, B. helichrysi, Myzus persicae, Uroleucon aeneum, U. carthami and U. jaceae. Brachycaudus (P.) cardui and Uroleucon aeneum* were recorded on safflower for the first time. In addition, DNA barcoding of safflower associated aphids were performed for confirming the identification of aphids and COI sequences for the pest aphids were uploaded to the BOLD database. Identification key to *Carthamus tinctorium*-feeding aphids in Turkey based on apterous viviparous females is provided.

Key words: Safflower, Aphididae, new host plant record, Central Anatolia, COI, mtDNA.

Yücel, C., Özdemir, I., & Coral, D. (2022). DNA Barcoding data of aphids (Hemiptera: Aphidomorpha) in Safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius* L.) with new host plant records in Turkey. *Journal of the Entomological Research Society*, 24(1), 47-61.

Received: August 04, 2021

Accepted: February 19, 2022

INTRODUCTION

The aphids (Hemiptera: Aphidomorpha), which represents an important group with its vector ability among plant pests, are polymorphic species and cause serious losses in terms of both quality and quantity by stinging and absorbing them in many cultured plants of economic importance. Aphids are among the important plant pests in our country as well as all over the world. Many of them feed on cereals, fruits and vineyards, forest trees, park and ornamental plants, causing significant damage. Especially the damage they give to agricultural products due to their primer and seconder damage is also economically important as product loss. Oilseed plants are plants having strategic importance in human and animal nutrition due to the vegetable oils, proteins, carbohydrates, minerals and vitamins they contain. The remaining pulps of oilseed plants after obtaining fat are an absolute source of protein for meat, milk and egg production (Anonymous, 2021). Due to these crucial properties, oilseed plants constitute the main source of both vegetable oil and mixed feed sector. In recent years, biodiesel sectors have been included in these two main sectors. The most widely known oilseed is sunflower in Turkish conditions. However, the land and climatic conditions of Turkey are extremely suitable for oilseed production in different alternatives. Soy, rapeseed and safflower stand out as alternative sources of oilseeds for Turkey (Anonymous, 2021).

The Safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius* L.) is annual oil plant with a pile root system, with between 30-50% fat in its seeds, whose oil can be used to make biodiesel, whose pulp is considered as animal feed (Öğüt & Oğuz, 2005). Turkey's agricultural potential is increasing day by day due to its characteristics such as being a potential source of raw materials for vegetable oil and mixed feed sectors, being able to grow in alternative areas, entering into debate, evaluating barren areas (İşler & Karaosmanoğlu, 2010).

Global climate change leads to negative consequences in nature, while it produces positive changes in some groups of insects, such as aphids. In order to monitor the effects of global warming and climatic changes, it is necessary to know the current status of the species, their spread, the complexes they have created in different ecologies and even their past situations (Görür, 2008). Aphids are among the important plant pests in Turkey as well as all over the world.

There are 5668 species of 733 genera in the world, while 591 aphid species are known from Turkey (Favret, 2021; Blackman & Eastop, 2021; Kök & Özdemir, 2021). The following 20 aphid species are reported on *Carthamus tinctorius* in the world: Acyrthosiphon ilka, Amphorophora sp., Aphis craccivora, A. fabae, A. gossypii, Aulacorthum solani, Brachycaudus helichrysi, Capitophorus elaeagni, Macrosiphum euphorbiae, Myzus persicae, Protaphis anuraphoides, P. carthami, P. pseudocardui, Protrama flavescens, Sitobion akebiae, Uroleucon carthami, U. compositae, U. gobonis, U. jaceae and U. sonchi (Holman, 2009; Blackman & Eastop, 2021).

The aphids are named afit, püseron, zenk, cute, kezbi, kevzi in Turkey. They are important due pest insect to its negative effects on the vegetative development and productivity of plants. Especially the effect due to the primary and secondary

damages in agricultural plants causes harvest loss and this is economically important. Aphids secrete saliva during feeding in the plant tissue, which causes molting or over proliferation of cells. This secretion, called honey substance, offers a suitable fattening environment for the development of saprophyte fungi in temperate regions, forming blackbal disease or fumajin. Aphids are the most important vector groups carrying plant virus diseases (Toros, 1973; Blackman & Eastop, 2021).

In agricultural management and plant quarantine, a rapid and accurate aphid identification to the species level is a critical task. However, high taxonomical expertise is required and morphological identification methods are time-consuming (Hebert, Cywinska, Ball, deWaard, 2003). Polymorphism, morphological plasticity, cryptic species and damaged specimens and immature stages are the other challenges. Molecular methods with easy DNA-based identification tool called DNA barcoding have been performed to resolve this problem (Hebert et al, 2003). The DNA barcoding identifies target species using short DNA sequences as barcodes (Hebert et al, 2003), a 658-bp fragment of the mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase (CO1) gene. Since DNA Barcoding is an emerging tool, databases should be constructed on the basis of specimens identified by specialists to make identification comprehensive and reliable (Jalali, Rakshit, & Venkatesan, 2015).

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Material

In 2015-2017, aphids were sampled from the areas where safflower plant cultivation is carried out in Ankara and Eskişehir province. The specimens were studied using a LEICA DM LB2 compound light microscope and morphological characters were measured using LAS 4.1 version software. Measurements of morphological characters were done according to Blackman & Eastop (2006, 2021).

Methods

Field studies

Sampling was carried out in Ankara and Eskişehir Provinces and districts, where safflower plant were sowed densely. Collected specimens localities were given. Each locality shows safflower field areas which were given with their size in the result part. The samples were collected from the leaves, stems and root parts of each plant observed infested with pests. The samplings were performed once a week or every two weeks between May-June and September-October, and in other months when necessary according to suitable the climatic situation. The samplings were performed between 2015 and 2017.

The aphid and infested host plant organs were cut with pruning shears, first wrapped in a piece of paper to remove moisture. Then the samples were put in polyethylene bags and wrote the date, place and host plant number on them, and these bags were placed in the ice box and brought to the laboratory. The adults in the samples were placed into %96 alcohol and the nymphs were maintained in the cages with the plant parts (16:8) under controlled conditions (16: 8 D/L, $25\pm2^{\circ}$ C and a $70\pm10\%$ H), until they became adults. After the alate and aptera individuals reached to the adult period, they were placed into 96% ethyl alcohol for identification.

Laboratory studies

Slide mounting of aphids were done using the method applied by Hille Ris Lambers (1950).

Molecular studies

DNA of the species was extracted by dissecting abdomen and three pairs legs and using a Qiagen DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) with slight modifications as described by Magoga et al (2016). Extracted DNA was used as template for a 658-bp fragment of the mitochondrial CO1 gene amplified by PCR using universal primers LCO1490 (5'-GGT CAA CAA ATC ATA AAG ATA TTG G) and HCO2198 (5'-TAAACT TCA GGG TGA CCAAAAAAT CA) (Folmer, Black, Hoeh, Lutz, & Vrijenhoek, 1994). PCR reactions were performed in a 25ul final volume reaction mix and PCR thermal profile as in Montagna et al (2017). Successful amplifications were determined by gel electrophoresis and sequenced bidirectionally by ABI Technology (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). The electropherograms obtained were manually edited, checked for double peaks and frameshifts by using Geneious Pro 5.5 (Biomatters Ltd., Auckland, New Zealand), and primers were removed. Each sequence was translated to protein in the EMBOSS transeq tool (www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/ emboss/transeq) to be sure that they complied with an open reading frame. All the COI sequences obtained in this study were clustered using the Barcode Index Number (BIN) in BOLD (Ratnasingham & Hebert, 2013). Furthermore, a dataset composed of our sequences and sequences of close species to our three species mined from BOLD database was built. A neighbor joining cluster analysis (NJ; Saitou & Nei, 1987) was performed for a representing the genetic differences between sequences and clusters of sequences in the dataset by using MEGA 6 (Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis Version 6.0). Myzus persicae (Sulzer, 1776) was included as the outgroup. Tamura & Nei (1993) was used as the model of nucleotide substitution, and 1000 bootstrap replicates were performed. Finally, the sequences were deposited in the BOLD system (sequence page from CENK001-21 to CENK005-21). based on K2P distances using MEGA 6 (Tamura, Stecher, Peterson, Filipski, Kumar, 2013). Sequences were aligned using MUSCLE (Edgar, 2004), implemented in MEGA 6. Nonparametric bootstrap support values were obtained by resampling and analyzing 1000 replicates (Felsenstein, 1985).

RESULTS

List of investigated aphids and new aphid-plant interactions is as follows.

Aphis craccivora Koch, 1854 (Aphidinae: Aphidini)

Detected for the first time in safflower in Turkey (Yücel, Özdemir, Ertürk, Şahin, 2014).

Material examined: Oltan, Ayaş, Ankara, 27.05.2015, Area 1, 39°57'13 N 32°09'55 E 827 m, 35 da; Area 2, 39°59'16 N 32°12'30 E 798 m, 30 da; Ortabereket, 27.05.2015, Area 1, 40°06'54 N 32°24'53 E 1028 m, 28 da; Sinanli, 27.05.2015, Area 1 39°59'23 N 32°18'37 E 823 m, 25 da; Oltan, 25.05.2016, Area 1, 39°57'17 N 32°08'56 E 860 m, 15 da; Area 2, 39°57'18 N 32°07'59 E 893 m, 15 da; Sinanli, 25.05.2016, Area 1, 40°00'25 N 32°15'46 E 932 m, 17 da; Kaçarlı, Şereflikoçhisar, 28.05.2015, Area 1, 39°05'10 N 33°31'16 E 980 m, 70 da; Area 2, 39°05'53 N 33°31'55 E 1010 m, 30 da; Gülhüyük, 28.05.2015, Area 3, 39°06'54 N 33°34'39 E 970 m, 60 da; Area 4, 39°07'26 N 33°34'48 E 914 m, 20 da.

Comments on coloration and morphology: *Aphis craccivora* is one of the bright black Aphids and is quite complex in relation with its hosts. Young individuals are seen as slightly waxy secreted. In wingless viviparous females, the head and body are black in color and have dark and typical patterned sclerotization, starting from methanotum and covering the abdomen dorsum. In winged viviparous females, the head and body are black in color. Their antennae are half the length of the body. Abdomen is bright brownish black.

Host plants of this species detected in Turkey: Acacia sp., Alhagi pseudalhagi, Amaranthus retroflexus, Anthemis sp., Begonville sp., Brassica oleracea, Bromus japonicus, Capsicum annuum, Capsella bursa pastoris, Cardaria draba, Catalpa sp., Centaurae sp., Chenopodium album, Cichorium intybus, Cicer arientinum, Convolvulus arvensis, Crepis foetida, Cucumis melo, Cucurbita pepo, Cydonia vulgaris, Dipsacus laciniatus, Galium aparine, Gossypium herbaceum, Heracleum sp., Hibiscus esculentus, Lens esculentum, Lycopersicum esculentum, Malus domes tica, Medicago sativa, Portulaca oleraceae, Prunus sp., Pyrus communis, Ribes rubrum, Rosa sp., Rumex sp., Sanguisorba minor, Senecio vulgaris, Solanum lycopersicum, S. melongena, Taraxacum officinale, Urtica urens, Vicia faba and Vitex agnus castus (Düzgüneş & Toros, 1978; Tuatay, 1993; Ölmez & Ulusoy, 2002; Toros, Yaşar, Özgökçe, Kasap, 2002; Aslan, 2002; Özdemir, 2004; Ayyıldız & Atlıhan, 2006; Kocadal, 2006; Kaygın, Görür, & Çota, 2008).

Virus transmission: This species is known to be a vector of approximately 30 plant viruses (Blakman & Eastop, 1984, 2000). Examples of nonpersistent viruses include bean yellow mosaic virus, beet mosaic virus, cucumber mosaic virüs and pea mosaic virüs. Peanut badge virüs and peanut mottle virus as examples of viruses transmitted in a persistent way (Kennedy, Day, Eastop, 1962; Blakman & Eastop, 2021).

Aphis fabae Scopoli, 1763 (Aphidinae: Aphidini)

It was recorded for the first time on Carthamus in Ankara and Eskişehir Provinces.

Material examined: Aşağıhacıbekir, Bala, Ankara, 28.05.2015, Area 1, 39°23'44 N 33°17'38 E 844 m, 60 da; Area 2, 39°24'16 N 33°17'59 E 826 m, 75 da; Bala, Tarım, 28.05.2015, Area 3, 39°30'46 N 33°16'14 E 1007 m, 20 da; Area 4, 39°29'43 N, 33°17'01 E 940 m, 400 da; Kesikköprü, Area 1, 39°22'33 N 33°24'03 E 762 m, 30 da; Area 2, 39°25'54 N 33°21'00 E 910 m, 20 da; Aşağıhacıbekir, 28.05.2016, Area 1, 39°28'08 N 33°21'07 E 876 m, 30 da; Mahmudiye, Işıklar, Eskişehir, 20.05.2015, Area 1, 39°27'54 N 30°58'21 E 933 m, 30 da; Area 2, 39°26'43 N 30°57'50 E 904 m, 50 da; Mesudiye, 20.05.2015, Area 1, 39°31'50 N 30°55'10 E 891 m, 30 da

Comments on coloration and morphology: In the winged viviparous female, the body is of colors ranging from brown to black. There are with areas dark green blackish irregular pattern on the abdomen. In the wingless viviparous female, the body is brown, greenish. There are irregular dark pigmented areas on the abdomen. *Aphis fabae* is matte black or very dark coffee color in living individuals. White pleural candle secretions are present in dots and almost always present in wingless individuals and rarely seen in young individuals. Winged individuals have sclerit in the abdomen 4th and 5th tergit, and the cauda is dark in color.

Host plants of this species detected in Turkey: Pimpinella anisum, Vitis vinifera, Vibirnum sp. (Bodenheimer & Swirski, 1957), Solanum dulcamara (Tuatay & Remaudiere, 1964), Arbitus verachne (Canakcıoğlu, 1967), Solanum lycopersicum, Cucurbita pepo, Ranunculus sp., Zea mais (Canakcioğlu, 1966; 1975), Papaver sp., Nicotiana tabacum, Vicia fabae, Philadelphus coronarius, Matricaria sp., Amaranthus sp., Lactuca sativa (Giray, 1974), Foeniculum vulgare and Ferula sp. (Tuatay, Kalkandere, Aysev, 1972; Düzgüneş, Toros, Kılınçer, Kovancı, 1982); Papaver sp., Phaseolus sp., Pimpinella anisum, Vicia faba, Beta vulgaris, Phaseolus vulgaris, Solanum lycopersicum, Solanum nigrum, Urtica urens, Heracleum sphondylium, Beta vulgaris, Portulaca oleracea, Anthemis arvensis, Cucurbita pepo, Zea mays, Papever somniferum, Nicotiana tabacum, Foeniculum vulgare, Matricaria sp., Amaranthus sp., Lactuca sativa, Chrysanthemum sp., Dianthus sp., Impatiens balsamina, Kniphofia hybrida, Portulaca grandiflora ve Zinnia elegans were registered as hosts of this species (Tuatay & Remaudiere, 1964; Çanakçıoğlu, 1967; Giray, 1974; Çanakçıoğlu, 1975; van Harten, 1975; Düzgünes et al, 1982; Zeren, 1989; Akkaya & Uygun, 1996; Toros, Yasar, Özgökce, & Kasap, 1996; Özdemir & Toros 1997; Ölmez, 2000; Özdemir, Toros, Kılıncer, & Gürkan, 2006; Toros et al, 2002).

In European conditions, it chooses other woody shrubs as primary hosts like *Euonymus europaeus* and *Viburnum opulus* and *Philadelphus coronarius* and switch to numerous herbaceous secondary hosts (Stroyan, 1984). Regularly visited by ants (Blackman & Eastop, 1984, 2021).

Virus transmission: Examples of viruses that transplanting nonpersistent way are yellow mosaic, sugar beet mosaic, Dahlia mosaic, cucumber mosaic viruses. Beet yellow mesh viruses, and potato leaf curly viruses as examples of viruses transplanted in a persistent way (Kennedy et al, 1962).

Brachycaudus (Prunaphis) cardui (Linnaeus, 1758) (Aphidinae: Aphidini)

This aphid is recorded for the first time on Safflower in the world (Blackman & Eastop, 2021; Holman, 2009).

Material examined: Gölbaşı, Günalan, 21.05.2018, Area 1 39°36'55 N 32°52'52 E 930 m, 15 da; Area 2 39°37'13 N 32°54)05 E 901 m, 10 da; İkizce, 21.05.2018, Area 1 39°36'33 N 32°40)22 E 862 m, 50 da; Area 2 39°35'52 N 32°41'59 E 844 m, 15 da; Dikilitaş, 21.05.2018, Area 1 39°33'56 N 32°43'54 E 870 m, 20 da; Mahmudiye, Işıklar, Eskişehir, 20.05.2015, Area 1, 39°27'54 N 30°58'21 E 933 m, 30 da; Area 2, 39°26'43 N 30°57'50 E 904 m, 50 da; Mesudiye, 20.05.2015, Area 1, 39°31'50 N 30°55'10 E 891 m, 30 da

Comments on coloration and morphology: The first record in Turkey was made in Ankara in 1939 through *Prunus domestica* and *Carduus* sp. (Bodenheimer & Swirski, 1957). There are with areas dark brownish and dark green blackish irregular pattern on the abdomen. In the wingless viviparous female, the body is brown, greenish

Host plants of this species detected in Turkey: Düzgüneş & Tuatay (1956) were found on *Carduus* sp. It chooses many plants from the Malvaceae family as hosts. In Turkey, it is reported on *Heliotropium, Achillea* (Tuatay & Remaudiere, 1964), *Eryngium* sp. (Canakçıoglu, 1966), *Cynara scolymus* (Giray, 1974), *Prunus domestica, Carduus crispus, Prunus mahalep*, thorns and weeds (Düzgüneş et al, 1982), *Anchusa* sp., *Artemisia* sp., *Carlina* sp., *C. vulgaris, Cirsium cephalotes, C. arvense inconium* and *Carduus acanthoides* (Tuatay, 1988). It is found on *Salix* sp., *Centaurea* sp., *Circium* sp., *Onapardium illyricum, Prunus spinosa, Silybum marianum, Cistus crveicus, Circus benedicus, Circium spinasissinum* and *Circium* in the Eastern Mediterranean region (Toros et al, 2002).

B. (*P.*) cardui, which is heavily visited by ants, feeds on plum leaves in spring, causing longitudinal severe curls along the middle vein. In summer it forms dense colonies in the pots and flower heads of secondary hosts.

Virus transmission: It also transferring beans yellow mosaic, cabbage black-ringed stain, cucumber mosaic, onion yellow dwarfism (Kennedy et al, 1962) and Sharka viruses in a non-persistent way (Blackman & Eastop, 1984, 2000, 2021).

Brachycaudus helichrysi (Kaltenbach, 1843) (Aphidinae: Aphidini)

It was recorded for the first time on *Carthamus* in Ankara and Eskişehir Provinces (Yücel et al, 2014).

Material examined: Mahmudiye, Işıklar, Eskişehir, 20.05.2015, Area 1, 39°27'54 N 30°58'21 E 933 m, 30 da; Area 2, 39°26'43 N 30°57'50 E 904 m, 50 da; Mesudiye, 20.05.2015, Area 1, 39°31'50 N 30°55'10 E 891 m, 30 da.

Comments on coloration and morphology: *B. helichrysi*, which is about 2 mm in size, has a slightly waxy bright appearance on its primary host, *Prunus domestica,* as in wingless form of the spring population. These wingless individuals are green, coffee or brownish yellow in color. The population in secondary hosts are very small in size and are green, pale yellow or whitish (Blackman & Eastop, 1984). The cornicle is light, short and flat and it does not have any pattern on it.

Host plants of this species detected in Turkey: In this study of *B. helichrysi*, first mentioned by Tuatay & Remaudiere (1964) in Turkey.

Some hosts in Turkey are Taraxacum officinalis, Carthomus tintorius, Carthamus dentatus, Chrysanthemum leucanthemum, Prunus sp., Senecio vernalis, Centaurea sp., Chrysanthemum sp., Helianthus annuus, Matricaria sp., Prunus sp., Prunus persicae, Senecio sp. and Helianthus sp. (Giray, 1974; Tuatay, 1988), Aster alpinus, Dahlia hybrida, Zinnia elegans (Özdemir & Toros, 1997). Ölmez (2000) reported on Prunus domestica and Calendula officinalis as the first record for Diyarbakır province. It was determined on Matricaria chamomilla, Prunus spinosa, Prunus domestica in the eastern Mediterranean region (Toros et al, 2002).

B. helichrysi causes significant damage to plums due to toxic saliva. It is also known as an important pest of *Chrysanthemum* in the greenhouse. It is not visited by ants.

Virus transporting: It is known as a vector of Cineraria mosaic, cucumber mosaics, Dahlia mosaics and Sharka viruses (Kennedy, Day, & Eastop, 1962). Sometimes it can also transmit nonpersistent viruses to plants that do not have hosts due to heavy flights (Blackman & Eastop, 1984; 2000).

Myzus (Nectarosiphon) persicae (Sulzer, 1776) (Aphidinae: Aphidini)

Yücel, Özdemir, Ertürk, & Şahin (2014) determined this aphid species on Safflower for the first time in Turkey.

Material examined: Sivrihisar, Mülkköy, Eskişehir, 21.05.2015, Area 1 39°29'57 N 31°47'59 E 897 m, 20 da; Hamamkarahisar, 21.05.2015, Area 1 39°29'25 N 31°47'16 E 835 m, 15 da; Area 2 39°29'06 N 31°46'41 E 821 m, 15 da; Çifteler, Eminekin, Eskişehir, 21.05.2015, Area 1, 39°21'55 N 31°08'54 E 852 m, 10 da; Area 2, 39°20'53 N 31°07'17 E 921 m, 20 da; Şereflikoçhisar, Yazısöğüt, 26.05.2015, Area 1 39°05'26 N 33°35'26 E 1169 m, 25 da; Kaçarlı, 13.06.2017, Area 1 39°07'03 N 33°31'09 E 843 m, 15 da

Comments on coloration and morphology: In wingless viviparous females, body color varies from whitish yellowish green to grayish-green, pale yellow green or pinkish and reddish green. Their antennae are slightly shorter than the body, and the antennae tubercels are distinct. The antennae and cornical ends are dark shaded and cornical is cylindrical or slightly bulging. Cauda is sharp and shorter than corniculus. Winged viviparous females also have a rather bright abdomen, head and thorax is in blackish color. Antennae are dark brown or black and about the average body length. The cornicle color is dark brownish and cylindrical in shape. Cauda is slightly muffled and has three pairs of hairs on the sides (Düzgüneş & Tuatay, 1956; Blackman & Eastop, 1984).

Host plants of this species detected in Turkey: Some hosts of this species in Turkey are Allium sativum, Althea rosa, Antirrhinum sp., Asparagus sp., Atropa belladona, Beta vulgaris, Brassica oleraceae, Capsella bursa-pastoris, Capsicum annuum, Cardaria draba, Carduus pycnocephalus, Carthamus tinctorus, Cirsium arvense, Crataegus sp., Cucurbita pepo, Cucumis melo, Daucus carota, Foeniculum vulgare, Helianthus annus, Hordeum vulgare, Lactuca sativa, Lycopersicum esculentum, Malus communis, Malva neglacta, Nicotiana tabacum, Petroselinum hortense, Phaseolus vulgaris, Portulago oleraceae, Prunus avium, Pyrus communis, Rhaphanus raphanistrum, Sesamum indicum, Solanum melongena, Spinacia oleracea, Triticum sp., Tulipa sp., Viola tritocolar and Zea mays (Düzgüneş & Tuatay, 1956; Bodenheimer & Swirski, 1957; Tuatay & Remaudiere, 1964; Giray, 1974; Çanakçıoğlu, 1975; Düzgüneş & Toros 1978; Düzgüneş et al, 1982; Karaat & Göven, 1986; Zeren, 1989; Tuatay, 1991; Önuçar & Ulu, 1993; Kıran, 1994; Akkaya & Uygun, 1996; Toros et al, 1996; Özdemir & Toros, 1997; Ölmez, 2000; Çobanoğlu, 2000; Toros et al, 2002; Özdemir, 2004; Ayyıldız & Atlıhan, 2006; Kocadal, 2006).

Virus transmission: It is stated that this species can transmit more than 100 plant virus diseases through persistent and nonpersistent ways. Of these, it is especially important by transporting potato and tobacco viruses that cause economic damage. *M.*

persicae, a polyfag species, has been reported that it transfers tobacco leaf bending virüs and tomato yellow web virüs as persistent viruses. In addition, potato acuba mosaic virus, potato A virus, potato Y virus and tobacco wilt virüs are transferred in a nonpersistent way (Kennedy et al, 1962).

Uroleucon (Uromelan) aeneum (Hille Ris Lambers, 1939) (Aphidinae: Aphidini)

This aphid is recorded for the first time on Safflower in the world (Blackman & Eastop, 2021; Holman, 2009). It was recorded for the first time on *Carthamus* in Ankara and Eskişehir Provinces (Yücel et al, 2014).

Material examined: Güzelyurt, Kalecik, Ankara, 29.05.2015, 40°08′26 N 33°23′59 E 721 m; 40°08′54 N 33°25′13 E 773 m; Güzelyurt, Hacıköy, Ankara, 26.06.2015, 40°11′39 N 33°26′47 E 846 m; 40°11′39 N 33°26′47 E 846 m; 40°10′53 N 33°26′01 E 861 m.

Comments on coloration and morphology: Wingless and winged are shiny black in color, have a metallic appearance. Adults are large and striking. It is dark brown in color in the pre-adult period.

Host plants of this species detected in Turkey: Its hosts are *Carduus crispus, Carduus* sp., *Cirsium arvense* and *Cirsium* sp. (Canakçıoğlu, 1975). In addition, It was reported in Van/Tatvan on *Cirsium* sp. (Tuatay, 1967).

Virus transporting: *U. (U.) aeneum* was transmit Moroccan watermelon mosaic virus (MWMV; Potyvirus, Potyviridae) (Chatzivassiliou, Papapanagiotou, Mpenardis, Perdikis, Menexes, 2016).

Uroleucon (Uromelan) jaceae (Linnaeus, 1758) (Aphidinae: Aphidini)

Yücel et al (2014) recorded this aphid species on Safflower for the first time in Turkey.

Material examined: Eskikarsak, Polatlı, Ankara, 27.05.2015, Area 1 39°53'30 N 32°04'43 E 692 m, 40 da; Sarıoba 27.05.2015; 25.06.2015), Area 1 39°51'40 N 32°05'39 E 706 m, 30 da; Eskipolatlı 25.05.2016, Area 1 39°33'36 N 32°11'43 E 892 m, 18 da; Area 2 39°32'14 N 32°10'15 E 816 m, 10 da; Şeyhali 25.05.2016, Area 1 39°30'58 N 32°17'02 E 875 m, 10 da; Area 2 39°29'17 N 32°18'24 E 937 m, 15 da; Şabanözü 25.05.2016, Area 1 39°43'01.5"N 32°03>50.5"E 762 m, 20 da

Comments on coloration and morphology: Its color is ranging from dark reddish brown to black. The antennae, legs, cornicle and cauda are black. Wingless individuals are 2.5-3.5 mm long (Blackman & Eastop, 1984; 2000).

Host plants of this species detected in Turkey: Acroptilon pieris, A. repens, Carduus nutans, Carduus sp., Centaurea calcitrapa, C. coroniformis, C. solstitialis, Centaurea spp., Carlina vulgaris, Circium arvense, Onopordium anatolicum and Compositae (Boschma, 1939; Bodenheimer & Swirski, 1957; Tuatay, Gül, Demirtola, Kalkandelen, & Çağatay, 1967; Giray, 1974; Tuatay, 1991; Aslan, 2002; Özdemir, 2004; Yücel et al, 2014).

Virus transmission: This species transmits the cucumber mosaic virus in a nonpersistent way (Kennedy et al, 1962).

Uroleucon (Uromelan) carthami (Hille Ris Lambers, 1948) (Aphidinae: Aphidini)

It was recorded for the first time on Carthamus in Eskişehir provinces (Yücel et al, 2014).

Material examined: Güzelyurt, Kalecik, Ankara, 26.06.2015, Area 2, 40°08′54 N 33°25′13 E 773 m, 25 da; Hacıköy 26.06.2015, Area 3 40°11′39 N 33°26′47 E 846 m, 70 da; Area 4 40°10′53 N 33°26′01 E 861 m, 35 da; Oltan, Ayaş, Ankara, 27.05.2015, Area 1 39°57′13 N 32°09′55 E 827 m, 35 da; Area 2 39°59′16 N 32°12′30 E 798 m, 30 da; Ortabereket, 27.05.2015, Area 1 40°06′54 N 32°24′53 E 1028 m, 28 da; Sinanlı, 27.05.2015, Area 1 39°59′23 N 32°18′37 E 823 m, 25 da; Oltan, 25.05.2016, Area 1 39°57′17 N 32°08′56 E 860 m, 15 da; Büyükboyalık, Area 1, 39°33′06 N 33°13′47 E 897 m, 25 da; Üçem, Area 1, 39°32′17 N 33°12′54 E 928 m, 15 da; Ergin, Area 1, 39°37′50 N 33°09′32 E 914 m, 15 da; Area 2, 39°39′14.6 N 33°07′35 E 865 m, 18 da; Kerişli, 14.06.2017, Area 1, 39°31′51 N 33°07′19 E 935 m, 20 da; Karaali, 14.06.2017, Area 1, 39°39′40 N 32°57′50 E 945 m, 20 da; Area 2, 39°39′59 N 32°58′28 E 912 m, 15 da.

Comments on coloration and morphology: The color of the aptherapy varies from dark brown to blackish-brown according to Papapanagiotou et al (2012), the body length is 2.1-3.3 mm. *Carthamus* spp. in southern and central Europe. Algeria (Laamari, Coeur d'acier, & Jousselin, 2013), Israel, Lebanon, Turkey and Pakistan and India (Kashmir) in the east. Most of the records from *C. tinctorius* in India are probably referred to as *U. compositae*. There is also confusion in European literature with the very closely related *U. jaceae* and *U. aeneum*, which are normally on the other Cynareae but can sometimes also be fed in *Carthamus* (Nieto Nafria, Remaudiere, & Mier Durante, 1986). Sexual morphs and life cycle are unknown. In Turkey, It was determined on 29.6.1963 in Ankara, Beypazarı-Nallıhan road; on 5.6.1963 in Mersin, Narlıkaya and on *C. dentatus* in Muğla, Marmaris on 11.6.1963 (Tuatay & Remaudiere, 1964).

Host plants of this species detected in Turkey: It was determined on 29.06.1963 in Ankara, Beypazari-Nallıhan road; on 5.6.1963 in Mersin, Narlıkaya and on *C. dentatus* in Muğla, Marmaris on 11.6.1963 (Tuatay & Remaudiere, 1964).

Virus transmission: There is no record of this aphid being a virus vector.

Molecular analysis

In molecular studies, four CO1 sequences (ranged from 619 to 661 bp) were successfully obtained after performing quality control analysis. As it is known for arthropods, our sequence data also revealed a high AT-content for the DNA barcode region with a base composition of A = 35%; C = 13.7%; G = 10.1%; T = 41.1%. This study provided CO1 sequences of *Brachycaudus helichrysi* (1 sequence), *Uroleucon aeneum* (1 sequence) and two *Uroleucon jaceae* (2 sequences) species. In BIN analysis, unique BINs were revealed for our sequences with less than 1% nucleotide distances. In addition, certain morphological identifications of our specimens performed by the second author, which supports the accuracy of the sequences. Dataset includes for neighbour joining tree 56 close sequences to our species and one outgroup as *M. persicae*. The neighbour joining tree confirmed the results previously obtained on the basis of the BIN analyses, and all the species possessing at least one conspecific were monophyletic and supported with high bootstrap values (Fig. 1).



Fig. 1. Neighbour-joining tree inferred on dataset representative sequences of close species available in BOLD. Bootstrap values are reported above the main lineages; sequences with red dot are our sequences in this study.

DISCUSSION

In this study, for providing confirmation of aphid species identification, DNA barcoding was performed. As a result, confirmation of our DNA sequences and neighbour-ioining tree results with the morphological identifications gives promising results for future studies. Aphids are a group of plant sap sucking insects, including many pests in agriculture. Therefore, an accurate and rapid taxonomic identification of individuals must to be regarded as crucial for management strategies for crop pests in Turkey. Due to morphological plasticity aphid identification is considered to be difficult. Traditional morphological identification requires high quality slides and specimens; however, even for taxonomists, their small body size and difficulty in slide making procedure cause to a time consuming process of species identification. Moreover, morphological characteristics of aphids can be influenced by biotic factors such as life cycle, natural enemy, feeding site, and host plant, as well as abiotic factors (Tamura et al, 2013; Li et al, 2020). In addition, high phenotypical similarity and intraspecific variation among species could interrupt the identification of aphid species. For these reasons, supporting and checking the identification of aphid species with the DNA barcoding is vital with new prospective informations, valuable for future studies and applications.

Fourteen aphid species are given in the world aphid host plant catalogue (Holman, 2009). Eight species were identified in this study and eight species were detected morphologically; *Aphis craccivora* Koch, *Aphis fabae* Scopoli, *Brachycaudus (Prunaphis) cardui* (Linnaeus), *Brachycaudus helichrysi* (Kaltenbach), *Myzus (Nectarosiphon) persicae* (Sulzer), *Uroleucon (Uromelan) jaceae* (Linnaeus), *Uroleucon (Uromelan) aeneum* (Hille Ris Lambers) and *Uroleucon (Uromelan) carthami* (Hille Ris Lambers) are reported from Safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius* L.). All of these species is associated with Asteraceae plants worldwide (Holman, 2009; Blackman & Eastop, 2021).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank the General Directorate of Agricultural Research and Policies of the Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry of Turkey.

REFERENCES

- Akkaya, A. & Uygun, N. (1996). Diyarbakır ve Şanlıurfa illeri yazlık sebze ekosistemindeki insecta faunası. Türkiye III. Entomoloji Kongresi Bildirileri, 24-28 Eylül 1996, Ankara, 423-430.
- Anonymous (2021, September 10). Retrieved from https://arastirma.tarimorman.gov.tr /ttae/Sayfalar/ Detay.aspx?Sayfald=59.
- Aslan, M. (2002). Kahramanmaraş ilinde Aphidoidea (Homoptera) türleri ile bunların parazitoid ve predatörlerinin saptanması. Phd Thesis, Çukurova Universitesi, Fen Bilimleri Enstitüsü, Konya, Turkey.
- Ayyıldız, Y. & Atlıhan, R. (2006). Balıkesir ili sebze alanlarında görülen yaprak biti türleri ve doğal düşmanları. Yüzüncü Yıl Üniversitesi Ziraat Fakültesi Tarım Bilimleri Dergisi, 16(1), 1-5.

- Blackman, R.L. & Eastop, V.F. (1984). Aphids on the World's crops: An identification quide. (2nd ed.). A Wiley, Intenscience Publication, New York.
- Blackman, R.L. & Eastop, V.F. (2000). Aphids on the World's crops: An identification quide. (2nd ed.). A Wiley, Intenscience Publication, New York.
- Blackman, R.L. & Eastop, V.F. (2006). Aphid's on the World's herbaceous plants and shrubs: An identification and information guide. Vol 2, A Wiley, Chichester, New York.
- Blackman, R.L. & Eastop, V.F. (2021, February 01). Aphids of the World's plants: an online identification and Information Guide. Retrieved from www.aphidsonworldsplants.info
- Boschma, H. (1939). *Temminckia. A Journal of systematic zoology*. Vol. IV, Brill Academic Publishers, Nederland.
- Çanakçıoğlu, H. (1967). Türkiye'de orman ağaçlarına arız olan yaprakbitleri (Aphidoidea) üzerine araştırmalar. T.C. Tarım Bakanlığı, Orman Genel Müdürlüğü 466(22), 8, Ankara.
- Chatzivassiliou, E.K., Papapanagiotou, A.P., Mpenardis, P.D., Perdikis, D.C., & Menexes, G. (2016). Transmission of Moroccan watermelon mosaic virus (MWMV) by Aphids in Greece. *Plant Disease*, 100(3), 601-606.
- Çobanoğlu, S. (2000). Aphididae (Hom.) species of Edirne province (Thrace part of Turkey). Entomologist's Monthly Magazine, 136, 45-52.
- Düzgüneş, Z. & Tuatay, N. (1956). *Türkiye aphidleri*. Ziraat Vekaleti, Ankara Ziraat Enstitüsü Müdürlüğü. Sayı 4, Ankara.
- Düzgüneş, Z. & Toros, S. (1978). Ankara ili ve çevresinde elma ağaçlarında bulunan yaprakbiti türleri ve kısa biyolojileri üzerinde araştırmalar. *Türkiye Bitki Koruma Dergisi*, 1(3), 151-175.
- Düzgüneş, Z., Toros, S. Kılınçer N., & Kovancı, B. (1982). Ankara ilinde bulunan Aphidoidea türlerinin parazit ve predatörlerinin tespiti. Tarım ve Orman Bakanlığı Zirai Mücadele ve Zirai Karantina Genel Müdürlüğü, Yayın Şubesi.
- Edgar, R.C. (2004). Muscle: Multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 32, 1792-1797.
- Favret, C. (2021, May 2). Aphid species file. Version 5.0/5.0. Retrieved from http://Aphid.SpeciesFile.org.
- Felsenstein, J. (1985). Confidence limits on phylogenies: an approach using the bootstrap. *Evolution*, 39, 783-791.
- Folmer, O., Black, M., Hoeh, W., Lutz, R., & Vrijenhoek, R. (1994). DNA primers for amplification of mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I from diverse metazoan invertebrates. *Molecular Marine Biology and Biotechnology*, 3, 294-299.
- Giray, H. (1974). İzmir ili ve cevresinde Aphididae (Homoptera) familyasi türlerine ait ilk liste ile bunların konukcu ve zarar şekilleri hakkında notlar. *Ege Ünivesitesi Ziraat Fakültesi Dergisi*, 11(1), 39-69.
- Görür, G. (2008). Türkiye afit faunasının son durumu ve afitlerin ekonomik önemi. *Türk Bilimsel Derlemeler Dergisi*, 1(1), 17-22.
- Hebert, P.D.N., Cywinska, A., Ball, S.L., & deWaard, J.R. (2003). Biological identifications through DNA barcodes. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*. 270(1512), 313-321.
- Hille Ris Lambers, D. (1950). On mounting aphids and other softskinned insects. *Entomologische Berichten*, 13, 55-58.
- Holman, J. (2009). Host plant catalog of aphids, Palaearctic region. Springer, Branisovska.
- İşler, A. & Karaosmanoğlu, F. (2010). Biofuels in Turkey, in rethinking structural reform in Turkish agriculture: beyond the World Bank's strategy, In Karapınar, B., Adaman, F., & Özertan, G. (Eds.), Agriculture issues and policies series (pp 319-340). Nova Science Publishers, New York.
- Jalali, S.K., Rakshit, O., & Venkatesan, T. (2015). DNA barcoding for identification of agriculturally important insects. In A.K., Chakravaryhy (Ed.). New horizons in insect science: Towards sustainable pest management. (pp: 13-22), Springer, New Delhi, India.

- Karaat, Ş. & Göven, M.A. (1986). Güneydoğu Anadolu Bölgesinde tütün dikim alanlarında Şeftali yaprakbiti (*Myzus persicae* Sulz.)' nin doğal düşmanlarının genel durumu. Türkiye I. Biyolojik Mücadele Kongresi Bildirileri, Adana, s. 162-172.
- Kaygin, A.T., Görür, G., & Çota, F. (2008). Contribution to the aphid (Homoptera: Aphididae) species damaging on woody plants in Bartın, Turkey. *International Journal of Natural and Engineering Sciences*, 2(1), 83-86.
- Kennedy, J.S., Day, M.F., & Eastop, V.F. (1962). A conspectus of Aphids as vector of plant viruses. Commonwealth Institute of Entomology, London, UK.
- Kıran, E. (1994). Güneydoğu anadolu bölgesi hububat ekiliş alanlarında görülen yaprakbiti türleri ve doğal düşmanları üzerinde çalışmalar. 3. Biyolojik Mücadele Kongresi Bildirileri, Ankara, s.29-37.
- Kocadal, E. (2006). Kuzey Kıbrıs Türk Cumhuriyeti'ndeki Aphidoidea (Homoptera) türleri, bunların konukçuları, parazitoit ve predatörlerinin belirlenmesi. Yüksek Lisans Tezi. Çukurova Üniversitesi, Fen Bilimleri Enstitüsü, Konya, Turkey.
- Kök, Ş. & Özdemir, I. (2021). Annotated systematic checklist of the aphids (Hemiptera: Aphidomorpha) of Turkey. *Zootaxa*, 4925, 1-74.
- Laamari, M., Coeur d'acier, A., & Jousselin E. (2003). New data on aphid fauna in Algeria. Zookeys, 319, 223-229. doi: 10.3897/zookeys.319.4340.
- Li, Q., Deng, J., Chen C., Zeng, L., Lin, X., Cheng Z., Qiao G., & Huang X. (2020). DNA barcoding subtropical aphids and implications for population differentiation. *Insects*, 11(11), 1-17.
- Magoga, G., Sassi, D., Daccordi, M., Leonardi, C., Mirzaei, M., Regalin, R., Lozzia, G., & Montagna, M. (2016). Barcoding Chrysomelidae: a resource for taxonomy and biodiversity conservation in the Mediterranean Region. *Research on Chrysomelidae*. 6, *ZooKeys*, 597, 27-38.
- Montagna, M., Kubisz, D., Mazur, M.A., Magoga, G., Ścibior, R., & Kajtoch, L. (2017). Exploring species-level taxonomy in the *Cryptocephalus flavipes* species complex (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society*, 179, 92-109.
- Nieto Nafria, J.M., Remaudiere, G., & Mier Durante, M.P. (1986). Contribucion al conocimiento de la fauna de pulgones de Andalucia. Actas de las VIII Jornadas de la Asociacion Espariola de Entomologia, Seville, Octubre, 382-395.
- Ölmez, S. & Ulusoy, M.R. (2002). Diyarbakır ilinde Aphidoidea üst familyasına bağlı türlerin predatörlerinin saptanması. Türkiye 5. Biyolojik Mücadele Kongresi, Erzurum, s. 237-246.
- Öğüt, H. & Oğuz, H. (2005). Biodiesel: Third millennium fuel. (2nd ed., pp: 55-60). Nobel Publishing House , Ankara, Turkey.
- Ölmez, S. (2000). *Diyarbakır ilinde Aphidoidea (Homoptera) türleri ile bunların parazitoit ve predatörlerinin saptanması.* Yüksek Lisans Tezi. Çukurova Üniversitesi, Fen Bilimleri Enstitüsü, Konya, Turkey.
- Önuçar, A. & Ulu, O. (1993). Ege bölgesi meyve fidanlıklarındaki zararlılar üzerinde faunistik çalışmalar. *Bitki Koruma Bülteni*, 33(1-2), 23-37.
- Özdemir, I. & Toros, S. (1997). Ankara parklarında mevsimlik süs bitkilerinde zararlı Aphidoidea (Hom.) Türleri. *Turkish Journal of Entomology*, 21(4), 283-298.
- Özdemir, I., (2004). Ankara ilinde otsu bitkilerde Aphidoidea türleri üzerinde taksonomik araştırmalar. Phd Thesis. Graduate School of Science and Engineering of Ankara University, Ankara, Turkey.
- Özdemir, I., Toros, S., Kılıncer, A.N., & Gürkan, M.O. (2006). A survey of Aphididae (Homoptera) on wild plants in Ankara, Turkey. *Ecology*, 15(58), 1-6.
- Papapanagiotou, A.P., Nathanailidou, M., Taylor, M., Zarpas, K.D., Voudouris, K., Tsitsipis, J.A., & Margaritopoulos, J.T. (2012). New records of aphid species (Hemiptera: Aphididae) in Greece. *Entomologia Hellenica*, 21(2), 54-68.
- Ratnasingham, S. & Hebert, P.D.N. (2013). A DNA-based registry for all animal species: The Barcode Index Number (BIN) System. *PLoS One*, 8, e66213. h

- Saitou, N. & Nei, M. (1987). The neighbor-joining method: A new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 4, 406-425.
- Stroyan, H.L.G. (1984). Aphids-Pterocommatinae and Aphidinae (Aphidini) Homoptera: Aphididae. Handbooks for The Identification of British Insects. *Royal Entomological Society of London*, 2(6), 210-218.
- Tamura, K. & Nei, M. (1993). Estimation of the number of nucleotide substitutions in the control region of mitochondrial DNA in humans and chimpanzees. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 10(3), 512-26.
- Tamura, K., Stecher, G., Peterson, D., Filipski, A., & Kumar, S. (2013). MEGA6: Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 6.0. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 30, 2725-2729.
- Toros, S. (1973). Bitki patojen viruslarının aphidlerle nakil mekanizması. *Bitki Koruma Bülteni*, 13(2), 83-105.
- Toros, S., Yaşar, B., Özgökçe, M.S., & Kasap, İ. (1996). Van ilinde Aphidoidae (Homoptera) üstfamilyasına bağlı türlerin saptanması üzerine çalışmalar. Türkiye 3. Entomoloji Kongresi, Ankara, Türkiye, 549-556.
- Toros, S., Uygun, N., Ulusoy, R., Satar, S., & Özdemir, I. (2002). *Doğu Akdeniz Bölgesi Aphidoidea t*ürleri. T. C. Tarım ve Köyişleri Bakanlığı, Tarımsal Araştırmalar Genel Müdürlüğü, Ankara.
- Tuatay, N. & Remaudiere, G. (1964). Premiere contribution au catalogue des Aphididae (Hom.) de la Turquie. *Rev. Path. Vegveale et D'entomologie Agricole de France.* 43(4), 243-278.
- Tuatay, N., Gül, S., Demirtola, A. Kalkandelen, A., & Çağatay, N. (1967). Nebat koruma müzesi kataloğu (1961-1966). (pp: 66). Türkiye Cumhuriyeti Tarım Bakanlığı Zirai Mücadele Zirai Karantina Genel Müdürlüğü Yayınları, Mesleki Kitaplar Serisi. Ayyıldız Matbaası, Ankara.
- Tuatay, N., Kalkandelen, A., & Aysev, N. (1972). Nebat koruma müzesi böcek kataloğu (1961-1971). Türkiye Cumhuriyeti Tarım Bakanlığı Zirai Mücadele Zirai Karantina Genel Müdürlüğü Yayınları, Mesleki Kitaplar Serisi. Mesleki Kitaplar Serisi. Yenigün Matbaası, Ankara.
- Tuatay, N. (1991). Türkiye yaprakbitleri (Homoptera: Aphididae): III. Aphidinae: Macrosiphini (III. Kısım). Bitki Koruma Bülteni, 31(1-4), 3-18.
- Tuatay, N. (1993). Türkiye yaprakbitleri (Homoptera: Aphididae): IV. Aphidinae: Macrosiphini (I. Kısım). *Bitki Koruma Bülteni*, 33(3-4), 83-106.
- Van Harten, A. (1975). Notes on a small collection of Aphids from continental portugal (Homoptera, Aphidoidea). Agronomia Lusitana, 36(3), 217-222.
- Yücel C., Özdemir I., Ertürk S., Şahin, D.C. (2014). Aspir (Carthamus tinctorius)'de ilk kayıt yaprakbiti (Hemiptera: Aphididae) türleri. 22.Ulusal Biyoloji Kongresi, Eskişehir, s. 1165.
- Zeren, O. (1989). Çukurova Bölgesinde sebzelerde zararlı olan yaprakbitleri (Aphidoidea) türleri, konukçuları, zararları ve doğal düşmanları üzerinde araştırmalar. Tarım Orman ve Köyişleri Bakanlığı Araştırma Yayınları Serisi Yayın No.59, Ankara.